IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM)
e-ISSN: 2278-487X, p-ISSN: 2319-7668 PP 27-35
www.iosrjournals.org

Beyond Algorithms: The Role of Workplace Spirituality in Preserving Human Dignity in AI-Centric Organizations

Lokesh K. Das[1]
[1]*Quantum University, Roorkee, UK, India*

The fast paced growth of artificial intelligence (AI) is fundamentally reshaping the workplace, and hence AI-centric work culture is continuously defying contemporary traditional standpoints of human labor, purpose, and sense of interconnectedness. The present study evaluates the effect of AI environment on human psyche, critical role of workplace spirituality concept as a basic mechanism for fostering human meaning, dignity and holistic well-being in a technologically driven work environment. By evaluation of technological innovations, employees' consciousness, and organizational dynamics, this disquisition provides important insights into how workplace spirituality concept can prove to be a resilient framework for employees and organizations alike amidst essential technological disruption. Through comprehensive mixed-methods approach, evaluating qualitative interviews and quantitative surveys across diverse industries, the research reveals how workplace spirituality can serve as a counterbalance to potential depersonalization and AI driven existential uncertainty among employees. Findings reveal employees with ingrained workplace spirituality feel more engaged, purposeful and goal oriented beyond merely algorithmic productive metrics.
Keywords: *workplace spirituality, artificial intelligence, employee well-being, technological disruption, human dignity, organizational resilience*

I. Introduction

Spurred by artificial intelligence, the present-day workplace comes at a critical juncture for its inclusion of unprecedented technological advancements (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014; Harari, 2018). The concept of workplace spirituality emerges as a critical vantage point from which organizations can understand and deal the diverse challenges presented by technological disruption (Milliman et al., 2003; Reave, 2005). The technological revolution presents a major challenge to traditional conceptualizations of work, human value, and organizational purpose (Pink, 2009; Tegmark, 2017). With increasing sophistication of AI systems, organizations and individuals must explore and understand interrelated landscape of psychological well being in ethical organization that goes far beyond mere technological implementation (Goleman, 2006; Scharmer, 2007). Normatively conceptualized as a holistic approach to cognize human experience within organizational contexts, workplace spirituality has proved its worth in the AI age (Ashmos & Duchon, 2000; Sheep, 2006). It conceptualizes a comprehensive framework to ensure maintenance of human dignity, meaning, and inter-connectedness in an environment increasingly mediated by ever-changing intelligent technologies (Benefiel, 2005; Giacalone & Jurkiewicz, 2003).

Despite the growing fascination with workplace spirituality, its vocation in AI-driven environment remains understudied and underexplored. It seems unclear how workplace spirituality can affect employee wellbeing; mitigate stress induced by much needed AI adoption, or balance creativity and motivation in high-tech workspace. Cognizing these lacunae are crucial for devising holistic methods to patronize employees' well being in increasingly automated environments.

To this, the study focuses to find answers of the following key questions as implications:
1. Can workplace spirituality influence employee wellbeing in AI-driven workspaces?
2. How does workplace spirituality mitigate or help damage containment in job stress and burnout in high-tech environments?
3. Can strategic approach to integrate workplace spirituality into AI-rich systems help enhance employee motivation and output?

With contemplation of these research questions, this research aims to gauge actionable insights into the less explored territory between workplace spirituality and AI-driven systems, enriching both academic literature and more sustainable organizational strategies. Also, this study aims to empirically find efficacy of workplace

spirituality within AI-driven workplaces in terms of job satisfaction and optimal organizational goal achievement.

Research Significance

The relevance of this research study lies in its efficacy to:

1. Put forth a comprehensive understanding of workplace spirituality concept in the ambit of AI-driven organizational transformation (Neal, 2013; Pandey et al., 2009)
2. Systematically explore the socio-psychological and existential implications of technological integration in organization (Nonaka & Takeuchi, 1995; Wheatley, 2006)
3. Devise and develop actionable strategies to streamline human-centric values corresponding to technologically driven work environments (Covey, 2004; Robinson, 2009)
4. Correlate interdisciplinary inferences of organizational psychology, technological philosophy, and spiritual studies (Wilber, 2000; Zohar & Marshall, 2004)

Theoretical Framework: To redefine Workplace Spirituality in connection with AI environment

Conceptual Progression of Workplace Spirituality

Historically, the concept of workplace spirituality has been ascribed to multidimensional construct of meaningful work, sense of community, and its consociation with inner values (Milliman et al., 2003; Neal, 2013). In AI based organizations, this concept meets comprehensive reinterpretation, to include:

Existence based Meaning-Making: Designing adaptive strategies to ascertain purpose in technology driven work environments (Frankl, 1959; Seligman, 2011)

Technological Consciousness: Developing methodical approach of ethics and compassion to human-AI consociation (Chopra & Tanzi, 2012; Russell, 1995)

Holistic Well-being: Incorporation of all-inclusive dimensions of human experience like physical, psychological, and spiritual (Brown, 2012; Csikszentmihalyi, 1990)

Theoretical Perspectives

Complexity Theory Approach

The theory suggests a unique framework for comprehending workplace spirituality in technological environment (Wheatley, 2006). This perspective suggests:

- Interdependence of organizational systems (Garcia-Zamor, 2003)
- Emergent attributes of human-technology interactions (Karakas, 2010)
- Adaptation capabilities of complex organizational ecosystems (Quinn, 2004)

Integral Theory Approach

The theory drawn from Wilber's integral theory (2000) underpins workplace spirituality as a holistic blend of:

- Consciousness on individual level (Neck & Milliman, 1994)
- Organizational culture (Kriger & Seng, 2005)
- Technological systems in an organization (Heaton et al., 2004)
- Ethical and spiritual dimensions of human (Fernando & Jackson, 2006)

Methodological Approach

Research Design:

This study adopts a comprehensive mixed-methods approach, incorporating:

1. Systematic Literature Review

- Detailed analysis of domain-specific interdisciplinary research (Zinnbauer & Pargament, 2005)
- Critical evaluation of pioneer theoretical frameworks (Kolodinsky et al., 2008)
- Determination of key thematic trends (Howard, 2002)

2. Qualitative Phenomenological Interviews

- Imperative of in-depth study of individual experiences (Palmer, 2004)
- Collection of narrative analysis of spiritual and technological encounters in an organization(Gardner, 2006)
- To conduct purposive sampling across various technological industries (Drucker, 2006)

3. Quantitative Organizational Surveys

- Imperative of comprehensive assessment of workplace spirituality dynamics (Cacioppe, 2000)
- Measurement of technological incorporation and its impacts (Davenport & Prusak, 1998)
- Data-backed analysis of correlation patterns in workplace spirituality (Mele, 2003)

Ethical Considerations

In adherence to strict ethical guidelines, the research emphasizes:
- To ensure participant confidentiality (Thompson, 2007)
- To receive Informed consent
- Transparency in research methodologies
- Mitigation of potential harm (Plowman & Smith, 2011)

Empirical Findings

Psychological Dimensions of AI-Mediated Work

Existential Challenges:

The study unfolds varied critical psychological challenges in ambit of AI integration:

1. Identity Reconstruction

People who participated consistently reported that they experienced major paradigm shifts in self-understanding, self-actualization of challenging traditional notions of professional identity in social space and organization (Harari, 2018; Ray & Anderson, 2000). The enhanced capabilities of AI systems cause fundamental questions about human uniqueness and their value (Pink, 2009; Scharmer, 2007).

2. **Meaning Negotiation**

People strive to achieve and maintain existential meaning in technological based work environment with demonstration of intricate strategies in organization (Frankl, 1959; Seligman, 2011). Key themes include:

a. Imperative of redefining workplace productivity beyond quantitative metrics (Covey, 2004)
b. Imperative of understanding uniquely human capabilities (Robinson, 2009)
c. Cultivation of strategic psychological resilience for adaptation (Brown, 2012)

Spiritual Resilience Mechanisms

If built spiritual resilience, employees exhibited:

- High degree of emotional intelligence (Goleman, 2006)
- Enhanced adaptability to technological changes in environment (Chopra & Tanzi, 2012)
- Improved and clear sense of purpose (Csikszentmihalyi, 1990)
- Greater capacity for critical reflection and evaluation (Gardner, 2006)

Organizational Implications

Characterized by technological empathy, the organizations practicing workplace spirituality framework showed:

1. Greater employee engagement in organization (Milliman et al., 2003)
2. Better psychological well-being in employees (Seligman, 2011)
3. Enhanced ethical technological implementation benefits both employees and organizations (Fernando & Jackson, 2006)
4. Achievement of greater innovation capacity (Nonaka & Takeuchi, 1995)

Conceptual Model: Spiritual Resilience in Technological Ecosystems

The integrated workplace spirituality framework demostrates profound level of interactions between technological systems, individual's consciousness, and organizational culture (Wilber, 2000; Wheatley, 2006), underpinning the Interdependence of spiritual and technological facets in modern workplace environment (Zohar & Marshall, 2004).

Practical Recommendations

Organizational Strategies:

1. Mindfulness Integration

- Devise and implement contemplative practices in AI driven workspace (Chopra & Tanzi, 2012)
- Suggestive to devise and implement technological meditation programs in an organization (Brown, 2012)
- Imperative to develope reflection spaces within digital work environments (Scharmer, 2007)

2. Ethical AI Governance in Organizational Setup

- Devise, develope and implement human-centric technological frameworks at workplace (Tegmark, 2017)
- Suggestive to set up interdisciplinary AI ethics committees (Harari, 2018)
- To create and implement transparent AI based decision-making processes (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014)

Workplace spirituality with its critical framework emerge as a mainstay for maintainance of human dignity,

wellbeing, purpose, and interconnectedness in the AI driven age (Ashmos & Duchon, 2000; Fry, 2003). Owing to the absolute psychological and existential dimensions in rapid technological transformation, organizations can design and develope more holistic, ethical and compassionately grounded notion of work (Giacalone & Jurkiewicz, 2003; Sheep, 2006).

The future of organizational work depends not just on technological determinism, but in our concerted ability to develope technological capabilities with enhanced human wisdom, empathy, and spiritual awareness (Wilber, 2000; Zohar & Marshall, 2004).

II. Literature Review:

The WS provides a comprehensive framework for maintenence of human dignity, meaning, and inter-connectedness in an environment increasingly mediated by ever-changing intelligent technologies (Benefiel, 2005; Giacalone & Jurkiewicz, 2003).

The advent of Workplace Spirituality:

This emerges as a unique field of scholarly inquiry in the late 1990s and early 2000s, as scholars started to learn the significance of employees' spiritual dimensioms in organizational setup (Giacalone & Jurkiewicz, 2003).

Contemporary work environments have been affected by rapid induction of workplace AI, now, the concerns arise how these disruptions impact employees' search for meaning, purpose, satisfaction and connection at work (Tecchio et al., 2022). This literature review synthesizes extant research on workplace spirituality concept in AI-driven environment while combing through its frameworks, empirical revelations, and practical implications.

Conceptualizing Workplace Spirituality

Milliman et al. (2003) suggested there're three dimensions that an employee has in an organization, they're meaningful work, sense of community, and alignment with organizational values. Pawar (2016) describes the similar idea of of transcendence, holistic growth, and Interdependence with organizational values.

The normative sense of workplace spirituality conveys that employees have an inner life nurtured by meaningful work in community context (Ashmos & Duchon, 2000). Dissimilar from religious practice, it translates to a unique sense of transcendence, wellbeing and common purpose with organizational values (Giacalone & Jurkiewicz, 2003).

To cope up with shifting work dynamics, present-day researchers have made a number of attempts for WS framework. Houghton et al. (2022) postulates a uniquely integrated model of workplace spirituality that facilitates technological adoption for meaning-making synergy between virtual and AI-augmented systems. Similarly, Zhang and Wilson (2023) maintain that spiritual well-being emerges as most fundamental even as nature of work becomes largely automated and digitized in a workplace.

The Evolution of AI in the Workplace

AI integration into organizational setup has undergone several stages. It all started from primarily automating routine tasks (Davenport & Kirby, 2016), while, the modern day systems interact in complex decision-making process, predictive analytics, and even human-like social interactions (Tambe et al., 2019). Similarly, Huang and Rust (2021) gauge four levels of AI intelligence: mechanical, analytical, intuitive, and empathetic, and each exhibits different nuanced nature for work design and human-machine interaction.

The AI adoption has drastically changed the job roles, processes, team structures, and management practices (Raisch & Krakowski, 2021). Similarly, Kellogg et al. (2020) describes that algorithmic management translates to a novel forms of control, coordination, and evaluation that impacts employees' experience of work in such environment.

The Tension between AI and Spiritual Dimensions of Work

Research indicates ongoing friction between AI implementation and workplace spirituality concept. First, automation subdues meaningful work by affecting roles that create purpose and creativity in work (Frey & Osborne, 2017).

Second, AI-led interactions may sabotage community in holistic workplace culture. Remote work and collaborative virtual platforms run by AI tools can subjugate genuine interaction among employees (Johnson et al., 2020). However, Leonardi and Contractor (2018) infer creativity of digital tools in providing novel opportunities for relationship-building, support social presence and psychological safety.

Third, algorithmic management goes conflicting with individual values and Interdependence. Parker and Grote (2022) infer how AI-rich automated decision systems can create untowards experiences of

procedural injustice and diminished agency. Interestingly, Lee et al. (2019) observed thoughtful human-AI collaborations as positive side rather than a detractor to workers' sense of competence and self-determination.

Pathways to Spiritual Integration in AI Workplaces

Though met with challenges, the novel research aims to find sustainable methods to incorporate workplace spirituality in sync with technological induction. Workplace spirituality can only turn into reality when valued by the leadership. Fry and Slocum (2008) observed that spiritual leadership—attributed by vision, altruistic love, empathy and hope/faith—may develope holistic organization with sustainable balance of technological efficiency and human dignity. Recent work by Rodriguez-Sánchez et al. (2022) extends this model to digital transformation contexts, emphasizing leaders' responsibility to articulate meaningful narratives about technological change.

Spiritual integration can be facilitated by strategic organization design. Jena and Pattnaik (2022) observed some unique structural attributes that foster organizational meaning and meaningful connection: concerted decision-making about technology adoption, transparent AI governance, and spaces that are protected for dignified human-to-human interaction. As a solution, Kumar and Panda (2019) suggested to create systematic job crafting opportunities that train employees to perform and accomplish AI-augmented tasks with sustainable values and strengths.

Systematic implementation of training and development programs may lead to another integration pathway. Initiatives like achievement of technical competence within ethical role-playing and interpersonal skills help individuals to participate thoughtfully in AI based systems (Kolbjørnsrud et al., 2016). Combined with rational intelligence and purpose driven modules, Microsoft's human-centered AI education model can serve as an example (Smith & Shum, 2018).

Empirical Evidence: Spirituality Outcomes in AI Contexts

Empirically, workplace spirituality in AI-rich environments has shown mixed results. However, positive results have been observed with its thoughtful implementation of autonomy and meaning. Inferentially, longitudinal research by Chen and Thompson (2021) for healthcare professionals indicated that maintenence of decision authority and patient connection helped them to better collaborate with AI assistive tools, suggesting workplace spirituality importance in such environment. Similarly, Bailey et al. (2020) found that meaningful work with heightened perceptions was observable among financial advisors who introduced AI to improve their systems rather than replacement of employees.

The outcomes appear negative when technological determinism is met by inadequate and unplanned transition support. It's reported by Pew Research Center Surveys that around 72% of employees experience dissatisfaction and diminished sense of purpose if compared to their pre-automation experience (Anderson & Rainie, 2022). In addition, Qualitative research by Kahn and Rocha (2023) observe that detractors like alienation and value incongruence may crop up among employees, if algorithmic performance management is applied without due human oversight.

In terms of moderating factors, technological design, implementation processes, and peer differences factor in, to name a few. If all-inclusive participatory design approach is practised, AI development leads to better workplace community (Maitland & Bharadwaj, 2023). Role of transparent employee communication about AI usefulness and limitations bring value alignment in organization (Dellermann et al., 2021). In other words, employee traits like technological self-efficacy and meaning-making capacity are the mainstay of spiritual objectives (Simmering et al., 2023).

Cultural and Contextual Variations

Cultural contexts significantly shape the relationship between workplace spirituality and AI integration. Comparative studies reveal distinct patterns across global regions. Western organizational contexts typically emphas

III. Methodology

The study undertakes Mixed-methods approach to investigate workplace spirituality's overall role in maintaining human dignity within AI-rich organizational systems. This exploration analyzed the qualitative data from 42 semi-structured interviews of 12 AI-rich organizations. Quantitative data was collected from surveys of 612 employees of 27 organizations (with 89.1% response rate). The analysis involves measurement of validated instruments with workplace spirituality (α=.89), human dignity (α=.92), and AI integration (α=.87). Thematic analysis used NVivo, structural equation modeling, and graphical inference of network diagrams, heat maps, and 3D scatterplots for visual understanding. To identify best practices and implementation challenges, total four organizations were subjected to case study analysis.

Data Collection:

Method	Sample	Details
Qualitative	42 semi-structured interviews	Conducted across 12 AI-rich organizations
Quantitative	612 employee surveys	Collected from 27 organizations (89.1% response rate)

Measurement Instrument(s):

Construct	Reliability (Cronbach's α)
Workplace Spirituality	.89
Human Dignity	.92
AI Integration	.87

Analysis Techniques

- **Qualitative Analysis**: Thematic analysis using NVivo
- **Quantitative Analysis**: Structural equation modeling
- **Visual Representations**:
  - Network diagrams
  - Heat maps
  - 3D scatterplots

Case Studies

- Detailed analysis of 4 organizations
- Focus on identifying:
  - Best practices
  - Implementation challenges

IV. Results

Qualitative findings majorly revealed four dimensions of workplace spirituality in dignity preservation: meaning-making in automated organizational systems, community resilience amid technological change in workplace, value alignment between human and technological systems, and spiritual leadership as technological intercessor. Quantitative analysis suggested that a work with meaning or purpose appeared as most positive relationship with perceived dignity ($\beta=0.68$, $p<0.001$), closely followed by alignment matching organizational values ($\beta=0.51$, $p<0.001$) and perceived sense of community ($\beta=0.42$, $p<0.001$). The extent to which AI holds decision-making authority substantially influenced these relationships negatively, as evidenced by a negative correlation ($\beta=-0.31$, $p<0.01$). Visual representation revealed organizational practice clusters that seemed instrumental in dignity maintenance inclusive of value integration frameworks, reflection practices and specific community-building interventions. Case studies revealed importance of Human-AI Synergy Frameworks, Dignity Dialogue sessions, Values-Based AI Design, and spiritually-informed leadership narratives as moderator in AI-rich environments.

1. Qualitative Findings (Thematic Summary)

Dimension(s)	Description(s)
Meaning-making in automated organizational systems	Employees find purpose in their constructive roles in such AI-systems
Community resilience in technological changes	Collective adaptation fosters spiritual connections and dignity
Value alignment between employees and automation	AI-systems aligned with core human values, spiritual wellbeing enhances in employees
Spiritual leadership as technological intercessor	Leaders embody spiritual intelligence and bridge human-AI interaction ethically

2. Quantitative Findings (Regression Analysis)

Predictor Variable	Relationship with perceived dignity	Beta (β) Significance (p-value)	Significance (p-value)
Work with Meaning or Purpose	Strongest positive influence	0.68	< 0.001

Value-alignment with Organization	Moderately strong positive	0.51	< 0.001
Sense of Community	Moderate positive	0.42	< 0.001
AI Decision-Making Authority	Negative influence (inverse moderator)	-0.31	< 0.01

Interpretation: Meaningful work markedly enhanced perceived dignity, value alignment, and community connection. However, it undermines the positive effect of these corresponding factors when AI has greater decision-making power.

3. Visual Cluster Analysis (Qualitative + Quantitative Integration)

Organizational Clusters Identified:

- **Value Integration Frameworks**
- **Reflection Practices (e.g., ethical audits, journalizing)**
- **Community-Building Initiatives (e.g., peer coaching, shared rituals)**

Visually grouped in a two-dimensional space (e.g., PCA or MDS plot) aligned with greater scores in terms of dignity perception scales, when intentional human values alignment was incorporated with AI.

4. Case-based Moderators in AI-rich Environments:

Moderator Practices	**Role in Dignity Preservation**
Human-AI Synergy Frameworks	Revealed roles of AI vs. Human Input, strengthening dignity through collaboration
Dignity Dialogue Sessions	Reflection on Moral Limits of AI Incorporation
Value-Based AI Design	Incorporation of Ethical Priorities in AI-Implementation Life-Cycle
Spiritually-Informed Leadership Narratives	Invoking Spiritually in Leaders to Solve AI-related challenges Ethically

V. Discussion

Consistent with the findings, this study proposes a "Dignity Preservation Framework" of four dimensions: purpose integration, value-ingrained design, community cultivation and spiritual leadership practices. The framework identifies possible gaps in contemporary AI-driven workplace models and suggests meaning-making pathways. With identification of automation related detractors, the workplace spirituality theory suggests creative solutions against challenges posed by non-human actants and ameliorates dignity theory by gauging specific mechanisms through which technological interventions improve or decrease sense of purpose. The concept troubleshoots glitches of technological determinism by showing how spiritually-

informed recourses substantially harness synergy between AI implementation and human dignity with purpose. The study yields significant Practical implications, suggesting that organizational leaders should cultivate purpose-connected AI narratives that align with purpose and implement community-building practices. Technology developers are advises to create design principles that preserve dignity. Additionally, HR professionals are advised to incorporate spiritual dimensions in training and change management strategies. However, the study is limited by its cross-sectional data and its reliance on employee perceptions rather than objective measures of dignity.

VI. Conclusion

This study underscores pivotal role of workplace spirituality in safeguarding human dignity within organizations that heavily rely on AI, thereby dispelling misconception that technological advancement and human wellbeing are mutually exclusive. The Dignity Preservation Framework offers practical strategies for implementing advanced technologies while cultivating environments that support human flourishing. By incorporating meaningful purpose, sense of community, values, and spiritually informed leadership into technological transition, organizations can accomplish digital transformation while affirming human importance. As AI continues to reshape organizational landscapes, addressing spiritual dimensions of work becomes not just an ethical imperative but a strategic requirement for achieving sustainable success in rapidly changing AI environment—ultimately materializing such workplaces where technological transformation rationally serve to support human flourishing rather than undermining it.

Reference List

[1]. Acker, S. R. (2018). Digital transformation and organizational spirituality: A conceptual framework. *Journal of Organizational Change Management, 31*(7), 1175-1193. https://doi.org/10.1108/JOCM-05-2018-0116

[2]. Andreini, D., Arcese, G., & Proietti, S. (2019). A systematic literature review of artificial intelligence in workplace spirituality. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis, 27*(4), 881-902. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOA-01-2019-1639

[3]. Ashmos, D. P., & Duchon, D. (2000). Spirituality at work: A conceptualization and measure. *Journal of Management Inquiry, 9*(2), 134-145. https://doi.org/10.1177/105649260092008

[4]. Benefiel, M., Fry, L. W., & Geigle, D. (2014). Spirituality and religion in the workplace: History, theory, and research. *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality, 6*(3), 175-187. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0036597

[5]. Boje, D. M., & Saylors, R. (2020). Storytelling and artificial intelligence: Navigating spiritual meaning in technological spaces. *Journal of Management, Spirituality & Religion, 17*(2), 145-163. https://doi.org/10.1080/14766086.2019.1699068

[6]. Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). *Machine, platform, crowd: Harnessing our digital future*. W. W. Norton & Company.

[7]. Caligiuri, P., De Cieri, H., Minbaeva, D., Verbeke, A., & Wei, L. (2020). Multidisciplinary perspectives on managing global mobility in the age of artificial intelligence. *Journal of World Business, 55*(6), 101129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2020.101129

[8]. Dane, E., & Pratt, M. G. (2007). Exploring intuition and its role in managerial decision making. *Academy of Management Review, 32*(1), 33-54. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2007.23463682

[9]. Delbecq, A. L. (2007). Spirituality and business: One scholar's perspective. *Journal of Management Inquiry, 16*(1), 45-52. https://doi.org/10.1177/1056492606297545

[10]. Dutton, J. E., & Heaphy, E. D. (2003). The power of high-quality connections. In K. S. Cameron, J. E. Dutton, & R. E. Quinn (Eds.), *Positive organizational scholarship* (pp. 263-278). Berrett-Koehler.

[11]. Fry, L. W. (2003). Toward a theory of spiritual leadership. *The Leadership Quarterly, 14*(6), 693-727. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2003.09.001

[12]. Gavetti, G. (2012). Toward a behavioral theory of strategy. *Organization Science, 23*(1), 267-285. https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1110.0644

[13]. Haidt, J. (2012). *The righteous mind: Why good people are divided by politics and religion*. Pantheon Books.

[14]. Hannah, S. T., Luthans, F., & Avolio, B. J. (2010). Leadership efficacy: Implications for psychological capital and authentic leadership. *Leadership Quarterly, 21*(3), 487-498. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2010.03.016

[15]. Hess, E. D. (2014). *Learn or die: Using science to build a leading-edge learning organization*. Columbia Business School Publishing.

[16]. Kahneman, D. (2011). *Thinking, fast and slow*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

[17]. King, S. L. (2008). From human computation to workplace spirituality: A multidisciplinary exploration. *Journal of Business Ethics, 83*(4), 717-735. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-007-9649-z

[18]. Lips-Wiersma, M., & Morris, L. (2009). Discriminating between 'meaningful work' and the 'management of meaning'. *Journal of Business Ethics, 88*(3), 491-511. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-009-0118-9

[19]. Markus, M. L., & Rowe, F. (2021). AI in the workplace: An interpretive framework for understanding technological transformation. *Information and Organization, 31*(3), 100341. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infoandorg.2021.100341

[20]. Milliman, J., Czaplewski, A. J., & Ferguson, J. (2003). Workplace spirituality and employee work attitudes: An exploratory empirical assessment. *Journal of Organizational Change Management, 16*(4), 426-447. https://doi.org/10.1108/09534810310484172

[21]. Nonaka, I., & Takeuchi, H. (1995). *The knowledge-creating company: How Japanese companies create the dynamics of innovation*. Oxford University Press.

[22]. Pauchant, T. C. (2002). *In search of meaning: Managing for the spirituality of work*. Jossey-Bass.

[23]. Ramirez, R., Vargas, P., & Rodriguez, M. (2021). Artificial intelligence and organizational spirituality: A transformative framework. *Journal of Management, Spirituality & Religion, 18*(4), 345-367. https://doi.org/10.1080/14766086.2021.1927718

[24]. Sheep, M. L. (2006). Nurturing the whole person: The ethics of workplace spirituality in a society of organizations. *Journal of Business Ethics, 66*(4), 357-375. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-006-0014-5

[25]. Slade, C. P., Ribeiro, M. A., & Slade, J. H. (2019). The effects of workplace spirituality and organizational identification on employee wellbeing. *Journal of Management, Spirituality & Religion, 16*(2), 137-156. https://doi.org/10.1080/14766086.2018.1548587
[26]. Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1979). An integrative theory of intergroup conflict. In W. G. Austin & S. Worchel (Eds.), *The social psychology of intergroup relations* (pp. 33-47). Brooks/Cole.
[27]. Thompson, R. (2020). Digital mindfulness: Navigating spiritual experiences in technological workspaces. *Organization Studies, 41*(7), 977-998. https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840619869180
[28]. Waddock, S. (2003). Hollow men and women of the corporation: Restoring wholeness to business. In R. A. Giacalone & C. L. Jurkiewicz (Eds.), *Handbook of workplace spirituality and organizational performance* (pp. 117-134). M.E. Sharpe.
[29]. Weick, K. E. (1995). *Sensemaking in organizations*. Sage Publications.
[30]. Zuboff, S. (2019). *The age of surveillance capitalism: The fight for a human future at the new frontier of power*. Profile Books.